

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/037,993	CHANDRASEKAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2167	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Sathyanarayan Pannala.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael J. Swope.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2005

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 13 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

S. Pannala
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called the Applicant's representative Mr. Michael Swope for composite prosecution of the application. Suggested to cancel 1-20. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1-11 and 13-20 and gave the permission to to do an Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite the prosecution.